

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,525	11/06/2003	Kevin Allan Dooley	2993-461US PJF/rl	7358	
32292	7590 05/25/2005		EXAM	INER	
OGILVY RENAULT LLP (PWC) 1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE			DONOVAN,	DONOVAN, LINCOLN D	
SUITE 1600	E COLLEGE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MONTREAL, QC H3A 2Y3			2832		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	ξ.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/701,525	DOOLEY, KEVIN ALLAN
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Lincoln Donovan	2832
riod f	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
THE - Extended after aft	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (O period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply	ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
atus			
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on <i>25 March 2005</i>	
2a)[☐		2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	•
3)		n for allowance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
,_	• •	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	• •
isposi	tion of Claims	•	
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the	application	
الكار•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-21</u> is/a		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
· —	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.		
7)	•		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or election requirement.	
pplicat	ion Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the	ne Evaminer	
		e <u>r 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner
<u>د - ر - ۱</u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	- · ·
		g the correction is required if the drawing	• •
11)		to by the Examiner. Note the attache	
riority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
<u>۔</u> ,	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.	
		documents have been received in A	Application No.
		s of the priority documents have beer	
		•	
	application from the internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-19-03, 10-04-04.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 10-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claimed invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 03-21-05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, line 2, applicant should clarify what is intended by a threshold current. In lines 2-3, applicant should clarify what is intended by a flowable conductor. In lines 4-5, applicant should clarify what is intended by the magnetic pressure forcing the conductor to flow. It appears that the conductor is flowable when at a specific temperature and not caused to be flowable by the magnetic force. In lines 6-7, applicant should clarify the structure to enable the flow to open the circuit.

Regarding claim 7, applicant should clarify the specific structure intended by the conductor core.

Regarding claim 8, line 2, applicant should clarify what is intended by a threshold current. In lines 2-3, applicant should clarify what is intended by a flowable conductor.

Art Unit: 2832

In line 5, it is unclear whether applicant intends "a threshold current" to be the same threshold as that of line 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 7-9, as best understood in view of the rejections under USC 112, 2nd paragraph, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasamatsu et al. [US 5,831,507].

Regarding claims 1, 2, 4 and 8-9, Kasamatsu et al. disclose a current interrupter for an electrical circuit adapted to open when a current exceeds a predetermined threshold comprising an element [5] formed of an eutectic material having a cross-sectional area flowable when a current flowing therethrough exceeds the threshold responsive to magnetic pressure applied thereto [14a, 14b, figure 6].

Kasamatsu et al. disclose everything claimed except the magnetic pressure forcing the circuit to open.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the force applied by the magnetic force would be sufficient to overcome the material when the material is in a flowable state.

Regarding claims 5 and 7, Kasamatsu et al. further disclose a reservoir for receiving the material when it is in a flowable state.

Art Unit: 2832

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Enad Elvin can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd